Review Article

Patient-Provider Relationship: Compliance with Care

AK Mohiuddin

Department of Pharmacy, World University of Bangladesh, 151/8, Green Road, Dhanmondi, Dhaka – 1205, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT: The Provider-Patient Relationship (PPR) is a novel concept of medical sociology in which patients voluntarily approach a doctor and thus become a part of a contract in which they tend to abide with the doctor's guidance. It has been proposed that an ideal PPR has six components, namely voluntary choice, practitioner's competence, good communication, empathy by the doctors, continuity, and no conflict of interest. In fact, a poor PPR has been proved to be a major obstacle for both doctors and patients, and has eventually affected the quality of healthcare and ability of the patients to cope with their illness. Owing to poor PPR, patients does not show compliance with doctor advice completely; opt for practitioner -shopping by changing their practitioner repeatedly; remain anxious; may choose quacks or other non-scientific forms of treatment; significant increase in direct and indirect medical expenses. Because of recurrent change in line of treatment as per the advice of different practitioner and non-completion of the entire course of drugs, there is a definite scope for the emergence of antimicrobial resistance, which further compounds the medical cost and anxiety, and finally may develop serious forms of disease or complications. From the practitioners' perspective, they may ask for unnecessary investigations or may give over-prescriptions, just to be safe. There is also observed a remarkable decline in human touch or empathy; and a significant rise in unhealthy competition among doctors.

⇑ Corresponding author at:
AK Mohiuddin, Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy, World University of Bangladesh, 151/8, Green Road, Dhanmondi, Dhaka – 1205, Bangladesh
E-mail: trymohi@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Patient-provider relationship is the main component of medical practice and essential for the delivery of quality health care. It formed the foundation of contemporary medical ethics. This relationship was constructed socially where patients assumed the role of 'the sick' and physicians assumed the role of 'the healer'. This implied a great deal of expectations, which set up patterns of social conduct. A patient expects the physician to know everything and wants to be treated fully. This may not always happen. Physicians also have their limitations, depending on the society they come from and the type of training they have received. Physicians in public and private health care settings behave differently and, for obvious reasons, private physicians devoted more time to the patient than the physicians in public-sector. However, Medical care occurs here as part of physician-patient interaction, where a physician defines a problem as medical or treats a social problem with a medical treatment.

The physician/patient relationship is a relationship where the medical needs of one person and the technical ability of another come together-should be understood as a humane partnership. The rapid penetration of managed care into the health care market raises concern for many patients, and physician about the effects that different financial and organizational features might have on the physician–patient relationship.

Some such concerns represent a blatant backlash on the part of physician whenever we talk to of health care practices with their patients' counterpart. But objective and theoretical bases for genuine concern remains.

This article examines the foundations and features of the patient–physician relationship and how it may be affected by managed medical care/emerging medical care system.

1.1. Types of Physician–Patient Relationship

Different forms of physician-patient relationship arise from differences in the relative power and control exercised by physician and patients (Table 1). In reality, these different models perhaps do not exist in pure form, but nevertheless most consultations tend towards one type.

Table 1: Types of Physician –Patient Relationship

Patient control	Physician control	Physician control
	Low	High
Low	Default	Paternalism
High	Consumerist	Mutuality

1.1.1. Paternalistic Relationship

A paternalistic (or guidance–cooperation) relationship, involving high physician control and low patient control, where the physician is dominant and acts as a 'parent' figure who decides what he or she believes to be in the patient's best interest [1]. This form of relationship traditionally characterized medical consultations and, at some stages of illness, patients derive considerable comfort from being able to rely on the physician in this way and being relieved of burdens of worry and decision making. However, medical consultations are now increasingly characterized by greater patient control and relationships based on mutuality [2].

1.1.2. Mutuality Relationship

A relationship of mutuality is characterized by the active involvement of patients as more equal partners in the consultation and has been described as a 'meeting between experts', in which both parties participate as a joint venture and engage in an exchange of ideas and sharing of belief systems [3]. The physician brings his or her clinical skills and knowledge to the consultation in terms of diagnostic techniques, knowledge of the causes of disease, prognosis, treatment options and preventive strategies, and patients bring their own expertise in terms of their experiences and explanations of their illness, and knowledge of their particular social circumstances, attitudes to risk, values and preferences [4].

1.1.3. Consumerist Relationship

A consumerist relationship describes a situation in which power relationships are reversed; with the patient taking the active role and the physician adopting a fairly passive role, acceding to the patient's requests for a second opinion, referral to hospital, a sick note, and so on [5].

1.1.4. Default Relationship

A relationship of default can occur if patients continue to adopt a passive role even when the physician reduces some of his or her control, with the consultation therefore lacking sufficient direction [6]. This can arise if patients are not aware of alternatives to a passive patient role or are timid in adopting a more participative relationship [7]. Different types of relationship, and particularly those characterized by paternalism and mutuality, can be viewed as appropriate to different conditions and stages of illness.

For example, in emergency situations it is generally necessary for the physician to be dominant, whereas in other situations patients can be more actively involved in treatment choices and other decisions regarding their care [8].

1.2. Importance of Provider-Patient Relationship

Good provider-patient communication has the potential to help regulate patients' emotions, facilitate comprehension of medical information, and allow for better identification of patients' needs, perceptions and expectations [9]. Medications, drugs, medicines - are known and have shown to improve the quality of people's lives, but they can also pose serious risks, particularly if not taken correctly. Studies have shown correlations between a sense of control and the ability to tolerate pain, recovery from illness, decreased tumor growth, and daily functioning [10]. Pharmacist regardless of setting - retail, drug stores, hospital, ambulatory care, long term care, consulting, academia, government, etc., as a member of health care team, is extensively trained to help patients get the benefits of medicine while reducing drug related problems & risks as much as possible [11].

The role of pharmacists has grown and changed to help patients cope with a complicated health care system. Pharmacists are medication experts; they have undergone extensive education in the science of how the human body uses and responds to medicines, and have also built up years of experience in real-life counseling on how to take medicines safely. The main goals of current provider-patient communication are creating a good interpersonal relationship, facilitating exchange of information, and including patients in decision making [12].

1.2.1. A Regular and Routine Based Follow-up

Pharmacists checking each prescription to help ensure that~

- The information provided by the prescriber is complete.

- The new medication will not interact with anything else they know you are taking.

- The medication and dosage are safe with any medical conditions.

- Patients complete understanding of how to take and store the medication properly.

- Warn patient of possible harmful drug interactions or allergies and side effects.

- Advise patient on drug-foods, drug-drug, drug-drinks, drug-herb, drug-OTC interactions, or activities to avoid while taking a certain medication, or on what to do if missed a dose [13].

1.2.2. Compliance with Medical Treatment

It has been shown that pharmacist's attitude towards his patients, his ability to elicit and respect the patients' concerns, the provision of appropriate information and the demonstration of empathy and the development of patient trust are the key determinants of good compliance with medical treatments in patients [14].

1.2.3. Improved Patient/Pharmacists Satisfaction

Patient satisfaction is an important area that deserves our attention because dissatisfaction with health care services can result in litigation against physicians by patients, unnecessary health care expenditure due to repeated visits, both could be very costly for the health care system. It seems that providers who are themselves more satisfied may be better able to address patient's concern [15].

It has been suggested that providers who are satisfied with their professional life may have more positive effect, which may in turn affect their communication with patients which then affect patient satisfaction [16].

1.3. Risk Factors of Provider-Patient Relationship

Factors that had lately put the provider-patient relationship at risk. These included: rising health care costs due to advances in science and technology, over-specialization, inappropriate use of drugs and diagnostic technology, changing patient/community expectations due to ready access to health care, gaining the right of partnership in decision-making, and increased awareness about adverse events [17]. Increased commercialization of medical practice, its deregulation and privatization, mushrooming of private hospitals, aggressive intrusion of the pharmaceutical industry and medical insurance companies as well as globalization had resulted in highly increased costs of medical care [18].

This exploitation of the patient had also resulted in complaints and a large number of legal claims. There were specific provider-related factors which affected the provider's relationship with the patient. These included clinical skills for proper diagnosis and investigation, management of cases and procedural skills as well as communication skills. The physician's unfriendly attitude, lack of good manners and unethical behavior towards the patient affected the relationship [19].

Innovative strategies were needed to improve this relationship. Medical education curriculum needed to include teaching of ethics, sociology aimed at creating cultural sensitivity, empathy and respect for the patient's dignity, standards of practice as well as legal aspects of medical practice. The physician should learn to treat patients as consumers and should respect their rights as such. The physician should understand the patient's perspective and explore all contextual factors, e.g. age, gender, family, socioeconomic status, culture, religion, beliefs, concerns and expectations about health and illness [20].

Patients also needed to be educated and empowered to exert their rights and provided health literacy in order to demystify health-related issues. Health systems had to be strengthened to provide universal coverage in a patient-friendly atmosphere and maintain continuity of care, with provision for regular audits.

Health systems everywhere had set goals of full coverage of, and accessibility to, health care for all, equity and efficiency and a high level of patient satisfaction. But problems like shortage of health workforce resulting in long waiting hours, inappropriate technology, budget constraints, over-specialization resulting in increased costs and a lack of good bedside manners were hampering the provision of improved quality of health care [21].

3. Fundamentals for Dynamic Relationship

3.1. Communications Skills

Good communication allows patients to share vital information essential for an accurate diagnosis of their problems, enables physicians to have a better understanding of their patient's needs and potentially lead to better symptom reduction. It improves patient understanding and adherence to treatment plans, reduces work-related stress and burnout for practitioners, and leads to positive effects on health care costs, including decreased diagnostic tests, referrals, and length of hospital stay. On the contrary, a breakdown in the physician-patient relationship often manifests as unsatisfactory patient provider communication, the dominant theme in malpractice claims [22].

Prior research on patient complaints focused on documenting the frequency of complaints, complainant demographics, and categorizing broadly the nature of these complaints into categories such as billing, treatment, diagnosis, efficiency, operational systems, poor attitudes, and communication. Attentive listening skills, empathy, and use of open-ended questions are some examples of skillful communication. Improved physician-patient communication tends to increase patient involvement and adherence to recommended therapy; influence patient satisfaction, adherence, and health care utilization; and improve quality of care and health outcomes [23].

Patients often regard their physicians as one of their most important sources of psychological support. Empathy is one of the most powerful ways of providing this support to reduce patients' feelings of isolation and validating their feelings or thoughts as normal and to be expected. Relationship building is especially important in breaking bad news. Important factors include understanding patients' perspectives, sharing information, and patients' knowledge and expectations. Miscommunication has serious implications, as it may hinder patients' understanding, expectations of treatment, or involvement in treatment planning [24].

In addition, miscommunication decreases patient satisfaction with medical care, level of hopefulness, and subsequent psychological adjustment. In addition to minimizing avoidance behavior, which prevents patients from expressing opinions, effective physician-patient communication should involve productive conversation, which involves understanding of both parties' perspectives, by shifting from a perspective that is rigidly certain of one's belief to a more exploratory approach that strives to understand the situation from another perspective. Recognizing the impact of patient reciprocation of communication and affect in a medical visit is important as it may help create positive exchanges to defuse negative patterns [25]. The pharmacist also gets benefits in this process. Potential benefits to the pharmacist in this process include:

- Enhanced professional status in the view of patients and other health care providers
- Establishment of an essential component of patient care that cannot be replaced by technicians or automation
- Enhanced job satisfaction through improving patient outcomes
- A value-added service to offer patients

- Revenue generation through payment for counseling services– limited at present but growing

3.2. Empathy

Empathy is vital to ensure the quality of relationship. This enables the physician to understand the symptomatic experiences and needs of individual patients. Studies have suggested that physician empathy improves the therapeutic effect and the patient's quality of life. Empathy facilitates trust and disclosure and can be directly therapeutic [26]. Physicians express empathy not only by grasping the personal meanings of patients' words, but also by (automatically) matching patients' nonverbal style, for example, their vocal tones. When physicians attune to patients nonverbally, patients feel more comfortable and give fuller histories. Further, there is a growing body of evidence suggesting that empathy directly enhances therapeutic efficacy. Engaged communication has been linked to decreasing patient anxiety, and, for a variety of illnesses, decreasing anxiety has been linked to physiologic effects and improved outcomes. An expert panel on how physicians deliver bad news concluded that patients cope better in the long term if their physicians are empathic [27].

3.3. Trust

Trust is the keystone of provider-patient relationship. Trust is defined as "assured reliance on the character, ability, strength, or truth of someone or something". Trust does not usually result from a single interaction, but instead it is built over time, with repeated interactions through which expectations about a person's trustworthiness can be tested. According to Thom and associates clearly stated behaviors that patients most strongly associate with enhanced trust [28]. These include comforting and caring, demonstrating competency, encouraging and asking questions, and explaining. More surprising is that patients find less value in gentleness during the examination, discussing options and asking opinions, looking in the eye, and being treated as an equal. Trust in physicians allows patients to effectively discuss their health issues [29].

Development of trust enables the patient to comply with the physician's guidance, which consequently results in improvement of health. The physician must recognize that although he or she has expert knowledge of the medical facts, the patient is the expert when it comes to determining what is best for him or her given his or her values, beliefs, and aspirations [30]. Hence, the physician is obligated to present clinical data as free as possible of personal or professional bias and to assist patients in understanding the rationale, effectiveness, benefits, and potential risks of a treatment plan without manipulation or coercion. Table 2 lists several principles physicians can follow to retain professional standards and nurture and sustain the public's trust in physician–patient relationships.

Table 2. Principles for Enhancing the provider patient relationship in managed care [31]

Provider	Plans
Enhanced knowledge, skills and attitudes of provider, patients and plans in the relationship	Encourage attention to psychological aspects of care
	Monitoring satisfaction with visit time
Foster continuity	Avoids decisions that interrupt continuity
	Promote a patient centered culture
Protect the interests and the preferences of individuals	Separate administrative rule communication from patient care
Contribute to quality improvement and standardization efforts	Standardize with protection for individual needs and preferences
Practice prudence in medical spending decisions	Protect patient confidentiality
Minimize conflicts of interest	Eliminate intrusive incentives in physician contracts
Review contracts for potential effects on provider patient relationship	Structure employer contracts to encourage accountability to members
	Promote candor in advertising and elsewhere

3.4. Informed consent

This is based on the moral and legal arguments of the patient's autonomy (independence in decision making). In relation to trust, the physician needs to be honest with the patient and his family to provide a genuine assessment of favorable and unfavorable outcome probabilities, along with the suggested therapy. it is important that consent is obtained for each act and not assumed because this is a routine assessment or procedure and therefore can be carried out automatically [32]. It is essential the patient understands their diagnosis, the benefit and rationale of the proposed treatment and the likelihood of its success together with the associated risks and consequences, for example side effects. Therefore, a prescriber needs to discuss these aspects with the patient. In addition, potential alternative treatments should also be discussed to allow the patient to make a comparison with the proposed plan. The prognosis if no treatment is prescribed should also be discussed. Such a wide-ranging discussion may require more than one appointment and reinforces the necessity for an ongoing patient–professional relationship focused on the needs of the patient [33].

3.5. Confidentiality

Medical confidentiality need not be requested explicitly by patients; all medical information, by nature, is generally considered to be confidential, unless the patient grants approval for its release. Confidentiality in medicine involves a careful balance of respecting patient autonomy, the duty to warn, protecting confidential patient information, and soliciting appropriate disclosures [34].

Confidentiality in relation to genetic information is likely to present a common ethical dilemma as it becomes possible to screen for gene mutations linked to an increasing number and type of diseases [35]. Confidentiality and privacy have received a great deal of attention recently with the passage and implementation of the *Health Insurance Portability and Accountability* (HIPAA) *Act*. Good practice involves:

a) Treating information about patients or clients as confidential and applying appropriate security to electronic and hard copy information

b) Seeking consent from patients or clients before disclosing information, where practicable

c) Being aware of the requirements of the privacy and/or health records legislation that operates in relevant states and territories and applying these requirements to information held in all formats, including electronic information

d) Sharing information appropriately about patients or clients for their healthcare while remaining consistent with privacy legislation and professional guidelines about confidentiality

e) Where relevant, being aware that there are complex issues relating to genetic information and seeking appropriate advice about disclosure of such information

f) Providing appropriate surroundings to enable private and confidential consultations and discussions to take place

g) Ensuring that all staff are aware of the need to respect the confidentiality and privacy of patients or clients and refrain from discussing patients or clients in a non-professional context

h) Complying with relevant legislation, policies and procedures relating to consent

i) Using consent processes, including formal documentation if required, for the release and exchange of health and medical information, and

j) Ensuring that use of social media and e-health is consistent with the practitioner's ethical and legal obligations to protect privacy [36].

3.6. Professional boundaries

This deals with any behavior on the part of the physician that transgresses the limits of the professional relationship, or boundary violations. In the context of the physician–patient relationship, a boundary violation refers to any behavior on the part of a physician that transgresses the limits of the professional relationship. Boundary violations have the potential to exploit or harm patients [37]. Boundary violations differ from boundary crossings, which occur whenever the patient-physician interaction goes beyond the usual therapeutic framework but is not necessarily harmful to a patient.

For example, if a therapist happens to encounter a patient in a social setting, that is a boundary crossing, but it is neither harmful nor unethical as long as the therapist does not violate confidentiality. However, if the therapist plans to meet the patient for dinner, it is a boundary violation [38]. The potential areas of exploitation include personal or social boundary violations, business relationships, and sexual activity. Examples of personal or social boundary violations include seeing patients in unorthodox settings for the convenience of the physician, loaning a patient money, or burdening the patient with personal information. Business ventures with a patient or taking advantage of insider information revealed by the patient are examples of unethical business relationships. Any form of sexual activity with a patient is a clear boundary violation [39].

4. Patient-Physician Relationship and Medical Ethics

4.1. Duties of Physicians to Patients

For monitoring the concord of this relationship, ethical codes have been developed to guide the members of the profession. The Hippocratic Oath was an initial expression of such a code. According to this, physicians have some responsibilities or obligations to the patients. They have a legal duty to provide a certain standard of skill and care to their existing patients. The legal duty of care is created when a physician agrees to treat a patient who has requested his or her services. In determining what that duty requires, physicians should consider whether the care they are providing is that which a "reasonable physician" would provide under the circumstances. Specialists would need to exercise a higher degree of skill in their area of expertise [40].

4.1.1. Obligations to the sick

Though a physician is not bound to treat each and every person, one should be mindful of the requirement of high character of mission and the responsibility for performance in professional duties. One should never forget that health and lives of those entrusted to his care depend on his skill and attention. A physician advising a patient to seek service of another physician is acceptable; but in case of emergency he must treat the patient. No physician could arbitrarily refuse to treat a patient, however for good reason, when an ailment which is not within the range of experience of the treating physician, he may refuse treatment and refer the patient to another physician [41].

4.1.2. Patience, Grace and Secrecy

Patience and gracefulness should characterize the physician. It is the responsibility of the physician to keep patient's information confidential unless there is a serious or imminent danger in doing so. Under some circumstances, a physician may reveal it in the interest of society to protect a healthy person against a communicable disease. In such instance, the physician should act as he would wish another to act toward one of his own family in like circumstances [42].

4.1.3. Prognosis

The physician should neither exaggerate nor minimize the gravity of a patient's condition. He or she should ensure that knowledge of the patient's condition disclosed to his relatives will be for the best interest of the patient.

A physician is free to choose whom he will serve except in an emergency. Once having undertaken a case, the physician should not neglect the patient, nor should he withdraw from the case without giving adequate notice to the patient and his family. Physician could not commit an act of negligence that may deprive his patients from necessary medical care [43]. Provisionally or fully registered medical practitioner shall not willfully commit an act of negligence that may deprive his patient or patients from necessary medical care. When a physician who has been engaged to attend an obstetric case is absent and another is sent for and delivery accomplished, the acting physician is entitled to his professional fees, but should secure the patient's consent to resign on the arrival of the physician engaged. Recently, MCI has also come out with a modified code of ethics for physicians, who have often been suspected to be ignoring the ethics of the noble profession by promoting the pharmaceutical industry's interests. The modified code of ethics prohibits medical practitioners and their family from accepting gifts, travel facilities, hospitality and monetary grants from the healthcare industry either in their name or in the names of their family members [44].

4.2. Models of Relationship

In North America and Europe, for instance, there are at least four models which depict this relationship:

A. Paternalistic model: The best interests of the patient as judged by the clinical expert are valued above the provision of comprehensive medical information and decision-making power to the patient

B. Informative model: By contrast, it sees the patient as a consumer who is in the best position to judge what is in her own interest, and thus views the physician as chiefly a provider of information.

C. Interpretive model: The aim of the physician-patient interaction is to elucidate the patient's values and what he or she actually wants, and to help the patient select the available medical interventions that realize these values.

D. Deliberative model: The aim of the physician-patient interaction is to help the patient determine and choose the best health-related values that can be realized in the clinical situation. To this end, the physician must delineate information on the patient's clinical situation and then help elucidate the types of values embodied in the available options.

The Table 3 compares the four models on essential points. Importantly, all models have a role for patient autonomy; a main factor that differentiates the models is their particular conceptions of patient autonomy. Therefore, no single model can be endorsed because it alone promotes patient autonomy. Instead the models must be compared and evaluated, at least in part, by evaluating the adequacy of their particular conceptions of patient autonomy.

The four models are not exhaustive. At a minimum there might be added a fifth: the instrumental model. In this model, the patient's values are irrelevant; the physician aims for some goal independent of the patient, such as the good of society or furtherance of scientific knowledge.

Table 3: Comparison Between Physician-Patient Relationship Models [45]

Points	Informative	Interpretive	Deliberative	Paternalistic
Patient values	Defined, fixed, and known to the patient	Inchoate and conflicting, requiring elucidation	Open to development and revision through moral discussion	Objective and shared by physician and patient
Physician's obligation	Providing relevant factual information and implementing patient's selected intervention	Elucidating and interpreting relevant patient values as well as informing the patient and implementing the patient's selected intervention	Articulating and persuading the patient of the most admirable values as well as informing the patient and implementing the patient's selected intervention	Promoting the patient's wellbeing independent of the patient's current preferences
Conception of patient's autonomy	Choice of, and control over, medical care	Self-understanding relevant to medical care	Moral self-development relevant to medical care	Assenting to objective values
Conception of physician's role	Competent technical expert	Counselor or adviser	Friend or teacher	Guardian

4.3. Effect of Provider Patient Interaction

4.3.1. Provider's Approach to Patients

Providers who adopt an autocratic approach assume a dominant or controlling role, speaking with an authoritarian tone and giving directions without seeking patient input. In contrast, providers who adopt a participatory approach collaborate with the patient to develop a mutually acceptable treatment plan, providing decisional support or guidance without ignoring patient views and demanding compliance with a certain therapeutic plan [46].

4.3.2. Provider Instruction on Patient Comprehension and Recall

Patients often receive information about the drug name and recommended dose and dosage frequency, but the majority of patients still receive no specific oral counseling about the purpose of therapy, how long to take their medication, side effects, other precautions, and when the medication will begin to work. In fact, the quality of medication instruction by a provider is a better predictor of patient comprehension and recall than the patient's age and education [47].

4.3.3. Provider Support on Patient Motivation and Evaluation of care

Being ill and undergoing treatment can involve a variety of stresses, practical problems, and other concerns that adversely affect patients' evaluations of treatment and their motivation to perform difficult tasks such as changing an unhealthy life-style, taking multiple medications, tolerating adverse events, and maintaining a positive self-image and outlook. Patients also develop more positive attitudes and achieve better treatment outcomes when their caregivers make a systematic effort to *reinforce* the value of therapy.

For example, experimental studies in hypertension management have documented substantial gains in patient adherence and clinical outcomes if patients receive regular blood pressure monitoring and feedback about their condition from a pharmacist or nurse [48], [49].

4.3.4. Social Context

Sick people want help because illness threatens their connection to the vividness. They naturally invest hope in the physicians they find while patients as a group dislike thinking unpleasant thoughts [50]. No doubt physicians do, too, although probably less so in the health care setting. A culture heavily invested in "the power of positive thinking" produces patients who may resist thinking about the possibility that their physicians will disappoint them [51].

Physicians also communicate and treat their patients differently according to other social characteristics such as social position and ethnicity. Physicians themselves have contributed to a culture of medical practice in which objective test results are given more credence and are felt to be more reliable than the subjective history of the patient. In practically more than 80% of diagnoses are made by history alone [52].

4.3.5. Prudence

Physicians should focus on continuity in relationships with individual patients, as well as other specialists and nurses' staff, with the organization as a whole. Continuity encourages trust, provides an opportunity for patients and physician to know patients' as persons and provides a foundation for making treatment decisions with a particular patient. It allows physicians to be better advocates for their patients and allows patients some power by virtue of the personal relationship they have with this physician. Practitioners can practice prudence. Physicians should be prudent in their use of resources, and at minimum resources should provide services to patients with utmost benefits [53].

4.3.6. Compliance

Compliance with physician advice is a key outcome of medical care consultations. The medical care physician is the key coordinator of prescribing medicine and Medication prescribing is a core component of medical care, and patient compliance with recommendations to take medications varies. Patients reporting high levels of concordance with the physician were one third more likely to be compliant in taking medications prescribed during that consultation. In contrast, continuity of care measures, trust in the physician, and enablement were not consistently or not independently related to compliance with medications [54].

4.3.7. Provider interaction with the electronic health record

The computer with the electronic health record (EHR) is an additional 'interactant', consumes an increasing proportion of clinicians' time during consultations. To ensure effective communication with their patients, clinicians may benefit from using communication strategies that maintain the flow of conversation when working with the computer, as well as from learning EHR management skills that prevent extended periods of gaze at computer and long periods of silence.

On the other hand, patients may perceive the use of EHR as part of the provider's responsibilities and an important source of information at the point of care. Moreover, some clinicians may be quite skilled at multitasking, enabling them to more successfully integrate their interactions with the computer and the patient. Thus, their use of the computer might have positive or at least neutral effects on their communication with patients [55].

4.3.8. The Effect of the Internet

Studies on how the Internet affects patients' experience of empowerment within the clinical encounter shown mixed outcomes. Many patients encountered resistance from physicians to discussing online health information. Some patients noted that when the information they found did not coincide with the physician's views, their GPs "decisively rejected or dismissed" their findings [56].

Some physicians dismissed patients' acquired knowledge in attempts to assert their authority, leading patients to be cautious in challenging their physicians' opinions [57]. Patients' online searching could result in physicians showing hostility or irritation, in some cases labeling persons as "over-informed" or "problem patients" [58]. These types of reactions have been interpreted as strategies for re-asserting the conventional, hierarchical consultation model in situations where the physician feels that his expertise or authority is threatened.

4.3.9. Management of Adverse Events

Adverse event resolution must consider multiple dimensions of trauma in the provider and system response. Provider communication timeliness and quality were important influences on patients' responses to adverse events. Confronting an adverse medical event collaboratively helped both patients and providers with patients' emotional, physical, and financial trauma and minimized the anger and frustration commonly experienced. Health organizations, providers, investigators, and policymakers should consider the patient experience when developing provider training or evaluating processes in patient resolution [59].

Greater insight into patients' actual experiences following an adverse medical event might improve the medical community's handling of these events. The model communication plan regarding crisis moment is detailed in Table 4.

Table 4. Communication Plan for an Adverse Moment

	Communicate	Evaluate and Refine	Reputation Recovery
Patient(s) and/or Family	· Ensure patient and/or family is provided with constant updates regarding the status of disclosure/ communication · Engage for feedback, address appropriately	· Ensure patient's privacy was protected · Follow up to determine additional information needs and reaction to public interest	· Communicate the results of any investigations and efforts taken to address the root issue · Continue to provide information when requested Maintain relationship
Other patients and stakeholders	· Execute communications plan, using stakeholder specific tactics · Communicate with priority stakeholders first, in the predetermined sequence · Monitor for response, be open to feedback · Adjust strategy as need	• Based on listening/monitoring activities, assess the need for additional communication	· Communicate the results of any investigations and efforts taken to address the root issue · Continue to provide information when requested · Highlight resulting improvements to safety processes
CEO and Key Executives	· Provide ongoing updates regarding the status of communications · Flag issues and concerns identified through monitoring activities	• Provide debrief and summary reports of media and social media monitoring • Provide summary of stakeholder reactions	· Develop communications plan regarding completed investigation, seek approval · Provide summary of communications activities and impact on stakeholder relationships and corporate reputation
Internal Staff and Volunteers	· Ensure internal audiences are kept up to date Communicate expectations of employees and volunteers (for stakeholder inquiries)	· Monitor internal reactions, and recommunicate as necessary · If required, consider higher quality touch points (e.g. town halls)	· Communicate lessons learned and organizational next steps · Be extremely cautious of blame – it is counterproductive
Key Operating Units (Legal, HR, Finance, Risk, etc.)	· Ensure information from operating units was appropriately considered in communication · Provide ongoing updates as required	· Provide debrief and summary reports of media and social media monitoring, if appropriate · Provide summary of stakeholder reactions, if appropriate	· Communicate lessons learned and organizational next steps · Address outstanding organization liabilities and implications
· Social Media · Traditional Media Sources *(Print, TV, Radio)*	· Communicate on owned social channels (Owned refers to the social pages the organization controls) · Respond to feedback on public forums · Monitor the reaction of key influencers (patient(s), influencers, healthcare system)	• Use social/digital media as a feedback mechanism to determine the potential reputational impact	• Education, if appropriate • Continue to provide information when requested

4.4. Special about Provider-Patient Relationship

A physician-patient relationship-based paternalism is still deeply rooted in today's Japan. However, it is also true that "patient-oriented healthcare" is beginning to be emphasized in the clinical field here. Demand by patients for the disclosure of medical information is growing year by year. It is expected that physicians have two types of relational skills, namely: instrumental, or the conducts related to the task, and socio-emotional conduct. In the first, questions are made and information is provided; while in the latter, feelings are addressed and empathy and commitment are shown. Affective communication between physicians and their patients is characterized by a balance between instrumental conducts and affective conducts, depending on the patient's specific needs. In recent times, a great deal of factors has been found impacting on the physician-patient communication [60].

The most basic of these have to do with the physician's gender, given that with the increased number of women in the medical profession, it has been found that women have their patients in mind when making decisions, and they also bear in mind the psychosocial aspects involving their patients. It has been proven that men are more likely to seek direct consultation, to use the medical jargon, and to focus more on physician- type discussions; while women like to talk more with their patients, obtaining better results and diminishing costs [61]. While males speak with a higher, stronger, tone of voice, dominating and competitive, interrupting others, communication from women is more emotional, subjective, and cordial, showing more commitment with the sentiments of others; additionally, the verbal conducts of women are reflected in the non-verbal communication. There is evidence revealing that female medical professionals for the most part express and interpret emotions through non-verbal clues, more precisely than males for example through a smile although there are exceptions.

4.5. Ending Relationship

The AMA Code of Ethics recognizes that the physician-patient relationship works best when it is a mutually respectful alliance. Termination of the physician –patient relationship is a twostep process. First, identify the behaviors or patterns of behavior that trigger termination. Then provide the appropriate notice of termination to the patient.   All decisions affecting the care and treatment of patients are taken within the context of this legal and ethical framework. Pharmacists have the authority to exercise professional and clinical judgment, including the choice to terminate a pharmacist/patient relationship where warranted. Patients are entitled to dignity and respect when interacting with health professionals. The decision to terminate a pharmacist/patient relationship is a serious one, most often taken because a therapeutic relationship has been compromised and/or there are issues that cannot be resolved and which impact on the ability to provide appropriate pharmaceutical care to the patient. In the language of ethics and the law, a physician may not abandon a patient. Abandonment has been defined as the physician's unilateral withdrawal from the relationship without formal transfer of care to another qualified physician [62]. However, the ethical obligation of the physician to maintain a relationship with a patient is not without limits. A written communication to the patient regarding a termination of the pharmacist/patient relationship contains the patient's name, the pharmacist's name and the name of the pharmacy; and additional information including, for example:

- o Affirmation and rationale for the decision to terminate the relationship and date chosen as the last day of care;

- o Direction to the patient to obtain services at another pharmacy and offer to transfer prescriptions;

- o Confirmation that prescriber(s) will be informed of the decision in the event that verbal prescriptions are received, if relevant, and/or a recommendation that the patient inform his/her prescriber(s) directly;

- o Acknowledge attachment of patient profile/medication history (if applicable); and

- o Any other information considered relevant.

4.6. Health disparities

In the United States, health disparities are a well-known problem among ethnic minorities such as African Americans, Asian Americans, Native Americans, and Latinos. Studies have shown that these groups have a higher prevalence of chronic conditions along with higher rates of mortality and poorer health outcomes, when compared with the white population [63]. For example, the incident rate of cancer among African Americans is 10% higher than among whites. African Americans and Latinos are also approximately twice as likely to develop diabetes as white people are. In addition, around 2 million Hispanics/Latinos have asthma and among Puerto Rican Americans, the incidence is around three times higher than in the Hispanic population. Among African Americans, the incident rate of asthma is 28% higher than among whites and the incidence rate of SLE is around two to three times greater among African American females than among white females [64].

SLE is also more common among Hispanic, Asian, and Native American women. Infectious diseases such as Hepatitis C are also more prevalent among African-Americans who account for 22 percent of Hepatitis C cases, despite only making up around 13% of the U.S population. In 2007, almost 70% of gonorrhea cases and around 50% of Chlamydia and syphilis cases occurred in African Americans [65]. Compared to the white population, African Americans are at an overall greater risk of conditions that lead to end-stage organ failure such as diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease. The requirement for organ transplant is therefore greater among this population, a need that is not currently met by the number of organs available. Compared with other ethnic groups, the rate of organ rejection is also higher among African-Americans, while the survival rate after transplantation is lower. Developing countries are particularly prone to health disparities and in order to meet the Millennium Development Goals and resolve these health disparities, access to health care must be improved in these countries [66].

There are several factors that lead to these disparities, some of which are listed below:

- ▪ Poor access to healthcare

- ▪ Poverty

- ▪ Exposure to environmental problems

- ▪ Inadequate level of education

- ▪ Individual and behavioral factors

5. Pharmacists in Patient Care Management

5.1. The Changing Role of Pharmacists

Pharmacists' professional roles have matured to include provision of information, education, and pharmaceutical care services. These changes have resulted in a focus on collaborative pharmacist-patient professional relationships, in which pharmacists and patients both have roles and responsibilities. The goal of high quality, cost-effective and accessible health care for patients is achieved through team-based patient-centered care. Pharmacists are essential members of the health care team.

The profession of pharmacy is continuing its evolution from a principal focus on medication product distribution to expanded clinically-oriented patient care services. As a result of this professional evolution, the importance of, and need for, a consistent process of care in the delivery of patient care services has been increasingly recognized by the profession at large [67]. Pharmacists have unique training and expertise in the appropriate use of medications and provide a wide array of patient care services in many different practice settings. These services reduce adverse drug events, improve patient safety, and optimize medication use and health outcomes. Pharmacists contribute to improving patients' health by providing patient care services as authorized under their scope of practice and facilitated by collaborative practice agreements. However, there is variability in how this process is taught and practiced. To promote consistency across the profession, national pharmacy associations used a consensus-based approach to articulate the patient care process for pharmacists to use as a framework for delivering patient care in any practice setting. If pharmacists and patients agree on relationship roles, the functionality and outcomes of this relationship will be optimized. Future research is needed to monitor trends in pharmacists' and patients' views of their relationship roles and to develop strategies as needed to ensure that pharmacists and patients are following the same relationship script [68].

5.2. Relationship with Patients

Optimal medication management requires an effective relationship between the patient and health care professional. As pharmacists move from the traditional dispensing role to become more actively involved in patient care, factors influencing their relationship with patients need to be identified. A better understanding of these factors will facilitate more effective relationships. Pharmacists use a patient-centered approach in collaboration with other providers on the health care team to optimize patient health and medication outcomes. An essential first step is the establishment of a patient–pharmacist relationship that supports engagement and effective communication with patients, families, and caregivers throughout the process [69].

In addition, at the core of the process, pharmacists continually collaborate, document, and communicate with physicians, other pharmacists, and other health care professionals in the provision of safe, effective, and coordinated care. This process is enhanced through the use of interoperable information technology systems that facilitate efficient and effective communication among all individuals involved in patient care. The Process includes the development of abilities to Collect, Assess, Plan, Implement, and Follow-Up (Monitor and Evaluate), but also to then repeat the Process for each patient. Each of those abilities is related to other knowledge, skills, and behaviors. Many, if not all, of these components require students to have sophisticated knowledge of drugs, diseases and disorders, and laboratory and other clinical studies in addition to informatics, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills. Professionalism, communication, education, interaction, cultural competence, and interprofessional abilities also are needed for successful implementation of the Process. Additionally, motivation, initiation, valuing, awareness, compliance, and other behaviors and attitudes of the affective domain are also key to effective implementation of the Process.

CONCLUSION

More than 50% of primary care providers believe that efforts to measure quality related outcomes actually make quality worse, it seems there may be something missing from the equation. Relationships may be the key. Studies consistently demonstrate that patients prioritize both the interpersonal attributes of their providers and their individual relationships with providers above all else. Physicians also ascribe great value to relationships. Kurt Stange, an expert in family medicine and health systems, calls relationships "the antidote to an increasingly fragmented and depersonalized health care system." The importance of trust and communication in a provider -patient relationship carry the same importance for both developed and developing countries in terms of patient satisfaction and quality of health care services, though the determinants may differ slightly. Conducting regular patient satisfaction surveys and further research on this topic will help health facilities to evaluate their services and help with strategic planning to better their services.

REFERENCES

1. McKinstry B Paternalism and the physician-patient relationship in general practice. *Br J Gen Pract*. 1992 Aug;42(361):340-2. PMID: 1457157
2. LeBaron S, Reyher J, Stack JM. Paternalistic vs egalitarian physician styles: the treatment of patients in crisis. *J Fam Pract*. 1985 Jul;21(1):56-62.
3. Emma LA, Graham M. Realizing the transformative potential of healthcare partnerships: Insights from divergent literatures and contrasting cases in high- and low-income country contexts. *Social Science & Medicine* 92 (2013) 74-82.
4. Moira S. Reflections on the physician–patient relationship: from evidence and experience British Journal of General Practice, October 2005. *British Journal of General Practice* 2005; Vol 55: Page 793–801.
5. Rafia R. A Theoretical Review on Correspondence of Physician Patient Relationship and Treatment Decision Making Models. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Modern Education*. Volume II, Issue I, 2016 Page 534-539.
6. Ritu A. Importance of patient centered communication in *Lifestyle Diseases* Slideshare March 10, 2013.
7. Rhonda FB, Phyllis NB. Responding to the active and passive patient: flexibility is the key ©*Blackwell Science Ltd* 2002 Health Expectations 5, pp.236–245.
8. Paul QB. Re-Thinking the Physician-Patient Relationship: A Physician's Philosophical Perspective A DISSERTATION Presented to the Department of Philosophy and the Graduate School of the University of Oregon in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Physician of Philosophy December 2011.
9. Jane ED. The importance of the physician-patient relationship. *BMJ* 2009; 339 doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b3923
10. Health and Behavior: The Interplay of Biological, Behavioral, and Societal Influences Committee on Health and Behavior: Research, Practice and Policy, Board on Neuroscience and Behavioral Health. ISBN: 0-309-51503-3, (2001) Copyright © National Academy of Sciences.

11. Stacie JL, Brooke G and others. Considerations for Providing Ambulatory Pharmacy Services for Pediatric Patients. *J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther* 2018;23(1):4–17 DOI: 10.5863/1551-6776-23.1.4

12. Amy SC. Chapter 41. Preventing Health Care–Associated Infections. Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses: Vol. 2 Hughes RG, editor. Rockville (MD): *Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality* (US); 2008 Apr

13. Richard JF. Medication errors: the importance of an accurate drug history Br J Clin Pharmacol /67:6 / 671–675.

14. Ngaire K, Stephen B and others. Physician-Patient Relationship and Medication Compliance: A Primary Care Investigation. *Ann Fam Med* 2004;2:455-461. DOI: 10.1370/afm.139

15. Linghan S, Ye L and others. Patient Satisfaction with Hospital Inpatient Care: Effects of Trust, Medical Insurance and Perceived Quality of Care *PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0164366 October 18, 2016 Page 1-18

16. David CD, Ronald E, Steven ZP. Time and the Patient–Physician Relationship JGIM. Volume 14, January (Supplement 1) 1999 Page 35-40.

17. INTERSYSTEM Creating Sustainable 21st Century Health Systems: eHealth and Health Information Technology Copyright © 2015. Inter Systems Corporation Inter Systems Corporation World Headquarters One Memorial Drive Cambridge, MA 02142-1356

18. Maureen M, Meri K. Commercialization of Health Care: Global and Local Dynamics and Policy Responses Project Title: Commercialization of Health Care: Global and Local Dynamics and Policy Responses UN Research Institute for Social Development

19. Bevinahalli N, Raveesh, Ragavendra BN, Shivakumar FK. Preventing medico-legal issues in clinical practice. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol.* 2016 Oct; 19(Suppl 1): S15–S20. doi: [10.4103/0972-2327.192886] PMID: 27891020

20. AAMC Professionalism in Medicine and Medical Education Foundational Research and Key Writings 2010–2016 Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges Copyright © 2017 by the Association of American Medical Colleges.

21. Kristine S, Stephan VB and others. Health literacy and public health: A systematic review and integration of definitions and models BMC Public Health. 2012; 12: 80. doi: [10.1186/1471-2458-12-80] PMID: 22276600

22. Piyush R, Archana K, Avinash C. How can Physicians Improve their Communication Skills? *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2015 Mar; 9(3): JE01–JE04. doi: [10.7860/JCDR/2015/12072.5712] PMID: 25954636

23. ClinGov Complaints and patient satisfaction: a comprehensive review of the literature CENTRE FOR CLINICAL GOVERNANCE RESEARCH © Debono D, Travaglia J. 2009

24. Barry E. Chapter 2. Empathy Behavioral Medicine: A Guide for Clinical Practice, Third Edition Feldman, Mitchell; Christensen, John Publisher: *McGraw-Hill Medical,* 2007 ISBN 10: 0071438602 ISBN 13: 9780071438605

25. Bernadette AMC Investigating the effectiveness of communication taking place between hospital pharmacists and patients during medication counselling. A thesis submitted for the degree of Physician of Philosophy at The University of Queensland in 2017 School of Pharmacy

26. Saul JW, Simon A. From Empathy to Caring: Defining the Ideal Approach to a Healing Relationship. *Yale J Biol Med.* 2007 Sep; 80(3): 123–130. PMID: 18299724

27. Alex JM. Empathetic Consultation Skills in Undergraduate Medical Education: A Qualitative Approach. Submitted for the degree of Physician of Philosophy. *University of East Anglia Norwich Medical School* 2014

28. Andrew KS. Strategies for ensuring trustworthiness in qualitative research projects Education for Information 22 (2004) 63–75 *IOS Press*

29. Walter WR. Chapter 23. Applying Information Mastery and Evidence in Practice Information Mastery: Evidence-based Family Medicine, Volume 1 By Walter Rosser, David C. Slawson, Allen F. *Shaughnessy Publisher*: pmph usa; 2 edition (April 1, 2004) ISBN-10: 1550091824 ISBN-13: 978-1550091823

30. Henriksen K Dayton E, Keyes MA and others. Chapter 5. Understanding Adverse Events: A Human Factors Framework Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses Rockville (MD): *Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality* (US); 2008 Apr. Publication No.: 08-0043

31. Dorr Goold S, Lipkin M. The doctor-patient relationship: challenges, opportunities, and strategies. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1999;14 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S26-33.

32. Clare MD. Informed Consent: Ethical theory, legal obligations and the physiotherapy clinical encounter Submitted for the fulfillment of PhD, October 2005

33. Marie TB, Jennifer KB. Medication Adherence: WHO Cares? *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2011 Apr; 86(4): 304–314. doi: [10.4065/mcp.2010.0575] PMID: 21389250

34. Sandra P, Mark JD, Ashley D. Navigating Ethics of Physician-Patient Confidentiality: A Communication Privacy Management Analysis. *Perm J.* 2012 Fall; 16(4): 41–45. PMID: 23251116

35. Ray N. Introduction to Medical Ethics Centre for Reproductive Ethics and Rights UCL Institute for Women's Health London 2007 Page 31

36. Medical Board of Australia. Good Medical Practice: A Code of Conduct for Doctors in Australia.

37. Aravind VK, Krishnaram VD, Thasneem Z. Boundary crossings and violations in clinical settings. Indian J Psychol Med. 2012;34(1):21-4.

38. Thomas GG, Glen OG. Misuses and Misunderstandings of Boundary Theory in Clinical and Regulatory Settings Am *J Psychiatry* 1998; 155:409–414.

39. PSYCH Psychiatrists' Support Service Manager, Psychiatrists' Support Service© *Royal College of Psychiatrists* 2013

40. AMA Principles of Medical Ethics: I, II, IV, VII Chapter 1: Opinions on Patient-Physician Relationships

41. Rangeel SR, Priyanka S and others. Emerging Ethical Perspective in Physician-Patient Relationship J Clin Diagn Res. 2014 Nov; 8(11): XI01–XI04. doi: [10.7860/JCDR/2014/10730.5152] PMID: 25584294

42. Ramchandra DL. Chapter 2. Duties of Physicians to Other Patients Essentials of Hospital Management & Administration Publisher: *Educreation* Publishing ISBN: 9781545718841, 1545718849 Edition: 2018

43. Rajesh B. Chapter 2. Duties of Physicians to their Patients Principles of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology Publisher: *Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers* (P) LTD New Delhi • Panama City • London

44. Krishnan V. Chapter 22. Medical Education vis-à-vis Medical Practice Textbook of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology: Principles & Practice Kindle Edition by Krishnan Vij (Author) Publisher: *Elsevier* India; 5th edition (10 February 2014) ASIN: B00JWMJZZI

45. Ezekiel J. Emanuel, Linda L. Emanuel Four Models of the Physician-Patient Relationship JAMA. April 22/29, 1992-Vol 267, No. 16

46. Kyriakos S. Patient participation in contemporary health care: promoting a versatile patient role. *Health Expect*. 2016 Apr; 19(2): 175–178. doi: [10.1111/hex.12456] PMID: 26995388

47. Qing ZT, Hyeoneui K, Martha H. Improving Patient Comprehension and Recall of Discharge Instructions by Supplementing Free Texts with Pictographs AMIA. *Annu Symp Proc*. 2008; 2008: 849–853. PMID: 18999109

48. Patti GM, Jann BS. Pharmacists' Contributions to Primary Care in the United States Collaborating to Address Unmet Patient Care Needs: The Emerging Role for Pharmacists to Address the Shortage of Primary Care Providers. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2010 Dec 15; 74(10): S7. PMID: 21436916

49. Robert JA. Improving health outcomes with better patient understanding and education Risk Manag Health Policy. 2010; 3: 61–72. doi: [10.2147/RMHP.S7500] PMID: 22312219

50. Hiroyasu G. The Physician-Patient Relationship Desired by Society 259 JMAJ, May / June 2007 — Vol. 50, No. 3

51. Portmann J. Physician-patient relationship like marriage, without the romance. *West J Med*. 2000 Oct;173(4):279-82.

52. Lipkin M (1987) The medical interview as core clinical skill: the problem and the opportunity. *J Gen Intern Med* 2(5): 363-365.

53. Susan DG, Mack L. The Physician–Patient Relationship Challenges, Opportunities, and Strategies. *J Gen Intern Med*. 1999 Jan; 14(Suppl 1): S26–S33. doi: [10.1046/j.1525-1497.1999.00267.x] PMID: 9933492

54. Kerse N, Buetow S, Mainous AG, Young G, Coster G and others Physician-Patient Relationship and Medication Compliance: A Primary Care Investigation. *Ann Fam Med* (2004) 2(5): 455-461.

55. Shachak A, Reis S. The impact of electronic medical records on patient-doctor communication during consultation: a narrative literature review. *J Eval Clin Pract*. 2009 Aug;15(4):641-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2753.2008.01065.x. Epub 2009 Jun 10. Review. PMID: 19522722

56. Wyatt, S. Turned on or turned off? Accessing Health Information on the Internet, Gender, Technology and Methodology research group, University of Twente, Netherlands, 7 November 2001.

57. Hart, A., Henwood, F., Wyatt, S and Marshall, A. 'The Internet, HRT and Viagra: Transformations in 'information seeking practices'? Paper presented at *Amsterdam School of Communications Research* (ASCoR), University of Amsterdam, 27 March 2003.

58. Broom, A. "Virtually he@lthy: The Impact of Internet use on Disease Experience and the Physician-Patient Relationship." *Qualitative Health Research* 15.3 (2005): 325-45.

59. Christine W. Duclos, Mary Eichler, Leslie Taylor, Javan Quintela, Deborah S. Main, Wilson Pace, Elizabeth W. Staton; Patient perspectives of patient–provider communication after adverse events, *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, Volume 17, Issue 6, 1 Dec. 2005, Pg. 479–486. https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzi065

60. José Henry Osorio Evolution and changes in the physician-patient relationship. *Colomb. Med*. vol.42 no.3 Cali July/Sept. 2011

61. Julie S, Kevin G and others. Effect of Physician and Patient Gender Concordance on Patient Satisfaction and Preventive Care Practices. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2000 Nov; 15(11): 761–769. doi: [10.1046/j.1525-1497.2000. 91156.x] PMID: 11119167

62. CorzerKeystone. Terminating the Physician-Patient Relationship. © 2018 Crozer-Keystone Health System.

63. National Research Council (US) Panel on Race, Ethnicity, and Health in Later Life; Anderson NB, Bulatao RA, Cohen B, editors. Critical Perspectives on Racial and Ethnic Differences in Health in Late Life. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2004. 3, Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health and Mortality Among the U.S. Elderly Population.

64. Escarce JJ, Morales LS, Rumbaut RG. The Health Status and Health Behaviors of Hispanics. In: National Research Council (US) Panel on Hispanics in the United States; Tienda M, Mitchell F, editors. Hispanics and the Future of America. Washington (DC): *National Academies Press* (US); 2006. 9.

65. Ananya M. What are Health Disparities? *News Medical Life Sciences* Last Updated: Aug 23, 2018

66. Susanne BN, Kamyar KZ, Keith CN. ocioeconomic Disparities in Chronic Kidney Disease *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis*. 2015 Jan; 22(1): 6–15. doi: [10.1053/j.ackd.2014.07.002] PMID: 25573507

67. Worley MM, Schommer JC, Brown LM, Hadsall RS, Ranelli PL, Stratton TP, Uden DL. Pharmacists' and patients' roles in the pharmacist-patient relationship: are pharmacists and patients reading from the same relationship script? *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2007 Mar;3(1):47-69. PMID: 17350557

68. JCPP, Pharmacists' Patient Care Process May 29, 2014 Joint Commission of Pharmacy Practitioners

69. Alghurair SA, Simpson SH, Guirguis LM. What elements of the patient-pharmacist relationship are associated with patient satisfaction? Patient Prefer Adherence. 2012;6:663-76.